## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 19 APR 2005

WIPO

PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:		PCI	
see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
		(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/EP2004/014756	International filing date (day/month/year) 23.12.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 15.01.2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) or I B01D29/96	both national classification	and IPC	
Applicant UFI FILTERS S.P.A.			

1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following iter	ns:

M	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion

☑ Box No. II Priority

☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited

☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

#### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

9)

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Hilt, D

Authorized Officer

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4259



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014756

	Box N						
1.	With re	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	la (u	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	With reneces	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type	e of material:					
		a sequence listing .					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. for	nat of material:					
		in written format					
		in computer readable form					
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:					
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	. t	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
4	. Addit	ional comments:					
_	Вох	No. II Priority					
1	(	The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where equired, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.					
2		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international iling date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
3	. Addi	ional observations, if necessary:					

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014756

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

2-9 1

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

3-9

illyelitive steb (io)

No: Claims

1,2

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-9

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

## Re Item V.

1 The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: US 2003/196939 A1 (BINDER WALTER ET AL) 23 October 2003 (2003-10-23)

# 2- ARTICLE 33 (2) PCT - NOVELTY

As far as claim 1 can be understood (see **Re Item VIII.**), the present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses (cf.para. 27; fig. 1) a replaceable filter cartridge assembly comprising:

- a casing (11) closed by a removable cover (13) and containing a filter element (10);
- the inner region of the cover is connected to the facing end of the cartridge by a snap connection system comprising two complementary parts which are associated with the cover and with the cartridge respectively;
- the system associated with the cartridge is located within the central compartment of this latter, and that part (27) of the system associated with the cover is dimensioned and shaped to be able to be inserted into the preceding and coupled thereto.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

# 3- ARTICLE 33 (3) PCT - INVENTIVE STEP

Dependent claim 2 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which it refers, meets the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step.

#### 4- CLAIMS

The skilled person would not regard as a normal option to include the features of the

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/014756

dependent claims 3-9 in the apparatus disclosed in the document D1 in order to solve the problem posed. So independent claims 3-9 meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

### Re Item VIII.

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1,2 are not clear.

Claims 1 and 2 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The following functional statements do not enable the skilled person to determine which technical features are necessary to perform the stated functions:

- "and released from each other by rotating this latter through a predetermined angle"
   (Claim 1);
- "disengagement means" (Claim 2)